

Show your work and justify all answers.

(11 pts)

- (1) [+2] Show that  $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$  is diagonalizable and has real eigenvalues if and only if  $A = BC$  where  $B \succ 0$  and  $C$  is Hermitian.

**Solution:** ( $\Leftarrow$ ) We essentially showed this in class. Since  $B \succ 0$ , we can write  $A = B^{1/2}(B^{1/2}CB^{1/2})B^{-1/2}$ , so  $A$  is similar to  $B^{1/2}CB^{1/2}$ . Now, since  $C$  is Hermitian,  $B^{1/2}CB^{1/2}$  is Hermitian as well. We conclude that  $A$  is diagonalizable and has real eigenvalues since the same is true of  $B^{1/2}CB^{1/2}$ .

( $\Rightarrow$ ) Since  $A$  is diagonalizable and has real eigenvalues, we can write  $A = PDP^{-1}$  where  $D \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  is diagonal. Then  $A = P(P^*(P^*)^{-1})DP^{-1} = (PP^*)((P^*)^{-1}DP^{-1})$ . Set  $B = PP^*$  and  $C = (P^*)^{-1}DP^{-1}$ , so  $A = BC$ . Now,  $B = PP^*$  so  $B \succ 0$  since  $P$  is non-singular. Also,  $C^* = C$  since  $D = D^*$ .  $\square$

- (2) [+1] Show that if  $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$  is diagonalizable, then  $\text{Nul}(A^2) = \text{Nul} A$ .

**Solution:** Certainly  $\text{Nul} A \subseteq \text{Nul}(A^2)$ , so it suffices to show that  $\dim \text{Nul} A = \dim \text{Nul}(A^2)$ . Now,  $\text{Nul} A = E_0(A)$  and  $\text{Nul}(A^2) = E_0(A^2)$ . Since  $A$  is diagonalizable, we know that if 0 has algebraic multiplicity  $k$  as an eigenvalue for  $A$  (which could be  $k = 0$ ), then  $\dim E_0(A) = k$  as well. Now,  $0^2 = 0$  is an eigenvalue for  $A^2$  also with algebraic multiplicity  $k$ , so  $k = \dim E_0(A) \leq \dim E_0(A^2) \leq k$ . Thus,  $\dim \text{Nul}(A) = \dim \text{Nul}(A^2)$ , so we conclude that  $\text{Nul} A = \text{Nul}(A^2)$ .

Alternatively, we can use our classification of diagonalizable matrices attained through the proof of Jordan's theorem:  $A$  is diagonalizable if and only if  $E_\lambda(A) = E_\lambda^2(A)$  for every  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ . To this end, we simply note that  $E_0(A) = \text{Nul} A$  and  $E_0^2(A) = \text{Nul}(A^2)$ , so the claim follows immediately.  $\square$

- (3) [+1] Fix  $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ . Show that if  $E_\lambda^k(A) = E_\lambda^{k+1}(A)$ , for some  $k \geq 1$ , then  $E_\lambda^t(A) = E_\lambda^k(A)$  for all  $t \geq k$ .

**Solution:** We prove this by induction on  $t$ .

Base case:  $t = k$  is trivially true and  $t = k + 1$  is true by assumption.

Induction hypothesis: For some  $t > k + 1$ , we have  $E_\lambda^{t-1}(A) = E_\lambda^k(A)$ .

Induction step: We know that  $E_\lambda^k(A) \subseteq E_\lambda^t(A)$  since  $t \geq k$ , so we need only prove the reverse containment.

Fix  $\vec{x} \in E_\lambda^t(A)$ , so  $\vec{0} = (A - \lambda I_n)^t \vec{x} = (A - \lambda I_n)^{t-1} (A - \lambda I_n) \vec{x}$ , so  $(A - \lambda I_n) \vec{x} \in E_\lambda^{t-1}(A) = E_\lambda^k(A)$ , by the induction hypothesis, so  $\vec{0} = (A - \lambda I_n)^k (A - \lambda I_n) \vec{x} = (A - \lambda I_n)^{k+1} \vec{x}$ , so  $\vec{x} \in E_\lambda^{k+1}(A)$ . Since  $E_\lambda^{k+1}(A) = E_\lambda^k(A)$  by assumption, this means that  $\vec{x} \in E_\lambda^k(A)$ , so  $E_\lambda^t(A) \subseteq E_\lambda^k(A)$ .  $\square$

- (4) [+2] Let  $A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ . Find matrices  $P, J$  such that  $J$  is a Jordan form and  $A = PJP^{-1}$ .

**Solution:** We first notice that the eigenvalues of  $A$  are 1 with multiplicity 3. Now,

$$E_1(A) = \text{Nul}(A - I_3) = \text{Nul} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \text{span}\{\vec{e}_1\}.$$

Hence, 1 has geometric multiplicity 1, so we need to look at generalized eigenspaces.

$$E_1^2(A) = \text{Nul}((A - I_3)^2) = \text{Nul} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \text{span}\{\vec{e}_1, \vec{e}_2\}.$$

$E_1^2(A)$  still does not have dimension 3, so we need to compute

$$E_1^3(A) = \text{Nul}((A - I_3)^3) = \text{Nul } O_3 = \mathbb{C}^3,$$

which finally has dimension 3.

Now, to find the appropriate generalized eigenvectors, we begin by selecting  $\vec{v}_3 \in E_1^3(A) \setminus E_1^2(A)$ , so we can pick  $\vec{v}_3 = \vec{e}_3$ . Now,  $\vec{v}_2 = (A - I_3)\vec{v}_3 = 2\vec{e}_2$ , and then  $\vec{v}_1 = (A - I_3)\vec{v}_2 = 2\vec{e}_1$ .

Thus, with  $P = \begin{bmatrix} \vec{v}_1 & \vec{v}_2 & \vec{v}_3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$  and  $J = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ , we have  $A = PJP^{-1}$ . □

(5) Fix  $A, B \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ . We say that  $A$  and  $B$  are *simultaneously diagonalizable* if there is a basis  $\{\vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_n\}$  for  $\mathbb{C}^n$  such that each  $\vec{v}_i$  is an eigenvector for both  $A$  and  $B$ .

(a) [+1] Show that if  $A$  and  $B$  are simultaneously diagonalizable, then  $AB = BA$ .

**Solution:** Let  $\{\vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_n\}$  be this common basis of eigenvectors and suppose that  $A\vec{v}_i = \lambda_i\vec{v}_i$  and  $B\vec{v}_i = \mu_i\vec{v}_i$ . Then  $AB\vec{v}_i = \mu_i A\vec{v}_i = \mu_i \lambda_i \vec{v}_i = \lambda_i \mu_i \vec{v}_i = \lambda_i B\vec{v}_i = BA\vec{v}_i$ , so  $AB = BA$  by the linear extension lemma. □

(b) [+1] Suppose that  $A$  has (distinct) eigenvalues  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k$  and  $B$  has (distinct) eigenvalues  $\mu_1, \dots, \mu_\ell$  (but these eigenvalues may have higher multiplicity). Show that if

$$\sum_{i=1}^k \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} (E_{\lambda_i}(A) \cap E_{\mu_j}(B)) = \mathbb{C}^n.$$

then  $A, B$  are simultaneously diagonalizable.

**Solution:** By assumption, there is a basis  $\{\vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_n\}$  for  $\mathbb{C}^n$  such that, for each  $k \in [n]$ ,  $\vec{v}_k \in E_{\lambda_i}(A) \cap E_{\mu_j}(B)$  for some  $i \in [k]$  and  $j \in [\ell]$ . In other words,  $\vec{v}_k$  is an eigenvector for both  $A$  and  $B$ , so  $A$  and  $B$  are simultaneously diagonalizable. □

(c) [+1] Show that if  $AB = BA$ , then  $E_\lambda(A)$  is a  $B$ -invariant subspace.

**Solution:** Let  $\vec{x} \in E_\lambda(A)$ ; we need to show that  $B\vec{x} \in E_\lambda(A)$  as well. Indeed,  $A(B\vec{x}) = B(A\vec{x}) = \lambda B\vec{x}$ , so  $B\vec{x} \in E_\lambda(A)$ . □

(d) [+2] Show that if  $A$  and  $B$  are both diagonalizable and  $AB = BA$ , then  $A$  and  $B$  are simultaneously diagonalizable.

**Solution:** We showed in class that if  $B$  is diagonalizable and  $U \leq \mathbb{C}^n$  is a  $B$ -invariant subspace, then  $U = \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} (U \cap E_{\mu_j}(B))$ . Since  $E_\lambda(A)$  is  $B$ -invariant, this means that for every  $i \in [k]$ , we have  $E_{\lambda_i}(A) = \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} (E_{\lambda_i}(A) \cap E_{\mu_j}(B))$ . Finally, since  $A$  is diagonalizable, we have

$$\mathbb{C}^n = \sum_{i=1}^k E_{\lambda_i}(A) = \sum_{i=1}^k \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} (E_{\lambda_i}(A) \cap E_{\mu_j}(B)),$$

so  $A$  and  $B$  are simultaneously diagonalizable by part (b). □