

Justify all answers! I recommend doing these questions out of order and focus first on questions with which you are less comfortable.

Please let me know if I've made any mistakes in my solutions.

- (1) This exercise will walk through the last necessary step in our proof of the existence of Jordan canonical form; namely that the generalized eigenspaces associated with different eigenvalues are linearly independent.

Fix  $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ .

- (a) Suppose that  $\lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{C}$ ,  $\vec{v} \in E_\lambda(A)$  and  $k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ ; show that  $(A - \mu I_n)^k \vec{v} = (\lambda - \mu)^k \vec{v}$ .

**Solution:** We notice that  $(A - \mu I_n)\vec{v} = A\vec{v} - \mu\vec{v} = (\lambda - \mu)\vec{v}$ . Thus,  $\vec{v}$  is an eigenvector for  $(A - \mu I_n)$  with eigenvalue  $(\lambda - \mu)$ . This implies that  $\vec{v}$  is an eigenvector for  $(A - \mu I_n)^k$  with eigenvalue  $(\lambda - \mu)^k$  as needed.  $\square$

- (b) Show that if  $\lambda \neq \mu$ , then  $E_\lambda^k(A) \cap E_\mu^\ell(A) = \{\vec{0}\}$  for any  $k, \ell \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ .

(Hint: use part (a) by considering  $(A - \lambda I_n)^{r-1} \vec{v}$  where  $r$  is the order of  $\vec{v}$  as a generalized eigenvector associated with  $\lambda$ .)

**Solution:** If  $k = 0$  or  $\ell = 0$ , then this is trivial, so suppose that  $k, \ell \geq 1$ .

Let  $\vec{v} \in E_\lambda^k(A) \cap E_\mu^\ell(A)$ ; we wish to show that  $\vec{v} = \vec{0}$ . If not, then since  $\vec{v} \in E_\lambda^k(A)$ , let  $r \geq 1$  be the order of  $\vec{v}$  as a generalized eigenvector associated with  $\lambda$ , so  $\vec{v}' = (A - \lambda I_n)^{r-1} \vec{v}$  has  $\vec{v}' \in E_\lambda(A)$  and  $\vec{v}' \neq \vec{0}$ . Additionally, since  $\vec{v} \in E_\mu^\ell(A)$  and polynomials in  $A$  commute, we know that  $\vec{v}' \in E_\mu^\ell(A)$  still.

Thus, applying part (a), we have  $\vec{0} = (A - \mu I_n)^\ell \vec{v}' = (\lambda - \mu)^\ell \vec{v}'$ , so  $(\lambda - \mu)^\ell = 0$  since  $\vec{v}' \neq \vec{0}$ ; a contradiction since  $\lambda \neq \mu$ .  $\square$

- (c) Suppose that  $\vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_m$  are nonzero generalized eigenvectors for  $A$ , each associated with a different eigenvalue. Show that  $\{\vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_m\}$  is linearly independent.

(Hint: induction on  $m$  using part (b).)

**Solution:** Base case:  $m = 1$  is trivial.

Induction hypothesis: For some  $M > 1$ , if  $\vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_{M-1}$  are nonzero generalized eigenvectors, each associated with a different eigenvalue, then  $\{\vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_{M-1}\}$  is linearly independent.

Induction step: Let  $\vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_M$  be nonzero generalized eigenvectors where  $\vec{v}_i$  is associated with  $\lambda_i$ , where  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_M$  are distinct. Suppose that  $\vec{v}_i$  has order  $k_i$  as a generalized eigenvector associated with  $\lambda_i$ .

Now, consider a linear combination  $c_1 \vec{v}_1 + \dots + c_M \vec{v}_M = \vec{0}$  and multiply by  $(A - \lambda_M I_M)^{k_M}$ , so find

$$c_1 (A - \lambda_M I_M)^{k_M} \vec{v}_1 + c_2 (A - \lambda_M I_M)^{k_M} \vec{v}_2 + \dots + c_{M-1} (A - \lambda_M I_M)^{k_M} \vec{v}_{M-1} = \vec{0}.$$

Now, since  $\lambda_i \neq \lambda_M$  for all  $i \in [M-1]$ , we know that  $(A - \lambda_M I_M)^{k_M} \vec{v}_i \neq \vec{0}$  for all  $i \in [M-1]$  by part (b) and also that  $(A - \lambda_M I_M)^{k_M} \vec{v}_i$  is still a generalized eigenvector associated with  $\lambda_i$  since polynomials in  $A$  commute.

Thus, by the induction hypothesis, we see that  $c_1 = \dots = c_{M-1} = 0$ , so  $\vec{0} = \vec{0} + \dots + \vec{0} + c_M \vec{v}_M$ , so  $c_M = 0$  as well since  $\vec{v}_M \neq \vec{0}$ .  $\square$

(2) For the following matrices  $A$ , find  $P, J$  where  $J$  is a Jordan form and  $A = PJP^{-1}$ .

(a)  $\begin{bmatrix} 2 & -1 \\ 0 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$ .

**Solution:** Observe that  $P_A(t) = (t - 2)^2$ . Now,

$$E_2(A) = \text{Nul} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \text{span}\{\vec{e}_1\},$$

and

$$E_2^2(A) = \text{Nul } O_2 = \mathbb{C}^2.$$

We can thus pick  $\vec{v}_2 = \vec{e}_2$ , and  $\vec{v}_1 = (A - 2I_2)\vec{v}_2 = -\vec{e}_1$ , so with  $P = \begin{bmatrix} \vec{v}_1 & \vec{v}_2 \end{bmatrix}$  we have

$$A = P \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 0 & 2 \end{bmatrix} P^{-1}.$$

□

(b)  $\begin{bmatrix} 5 & -1 & 0 \\ 1 & 3 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 4 \end{bmatrix}$ .

**Solution:** Observe that  $P_A(t) = (t - 4)^3$ . Now,

$$E_4(A) = \text{Nul} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 & 0 \\ 1 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \text{span} \left\{ \vec{e}_3, \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \right\},$$

and

$$E_4^2(A) = \text{Nul } O_3 = \mathbb{C}^3.$$

Now, notice that  $\vec{v}_2 = \vec{e}_1$  is a basis for  $E_4^2(A)$  relative to  $E_4^1(A)$ , and  $\vec{v}_1 = (A - 4I_3) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$ .

Finally, with  $\vec{v}_3 = \vec{e}_3$ ,  $\{\vec{v}_2, \vec{v}_3\}$  forms a basis for  $E_4(A)$ , so  $\{\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2, \vec{v}_3\}$  is a basis for  $\mathbb{C}^3$ , so with  $P = \begin{bmatrix} \vec{v}_1 & \vec{v}_2 & \vec{v}_3 \end{bmatrix}$ , we have

$$A = P \begin{bmatrix} 4 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 4 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 4 \end{bmatrix} P^{-1}.$$

□

(3) Fix  $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$  and  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ . Suppose that  $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}_+$  is such that  $E_\lambda^{\ell-1}(A) \subsetneq E_\lambda^\ell(A) = E_\lambda^{\ell+1}(A)$ , i.e. the chain of generalized  $\lambda$ -eigenspaces stabilizes at order  $\ell$ . Prove that if  $k \geq \ell$ , then

$$\text{Nul}((A - \lambda I_n)^k) + \text{Col}((A - \lambda I_n)^k) = \mathbb{C}^n.$$

(Hint: Show that the intersection of these spaces is  $\{\vec{0}\}$  and apply rank-nullity.)

**Solution:** By the rank-nullity theorem, we know that the dimensions of these spaces add to  $n$ , so it suffices to show that  $\text{Nul}((A - \lambda I_n)^k) \cap \text{Col}((A - \lambda I_n)^k) = \{\vec{0}\}$ .

Indeed, suppose that  $\vec{v} \in \text{Nul}((A - \lambda I_n)^k) \cap \text{Col}((A - \lambda I_n)^k)$ , so  $(A - \lambda I_n)^k \vec{v} = \vec{0}$  and there is some  $\vec{u} \in \mathbb{C}^n$  such that  $(A - \lambda I_n)^k \vec{u} = \vec{v}$ . Thus,  $(A - \lambda I_n)^{2k} \vec{u} = (A - \lambda I_n)^k \vec{v} = \vec{0}$ , so  $\vec{u} \in E_\lambda^{2k}(A) = E_\lambda^k(A)$ , since

the chain stabilizes at order  $\ell \leq k$ . But, then, since  $\vec{u} \in E_\lambda^k(A)$ , we in fact have  $\vec{v} = (A - \lambda I_n)^k \vec{u} = \vec{0}$ , as needed.  $\square$

(4) Show that if  $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$  is diagonalizable, then  $\text{Nul } A \cap \text{Col } A = \{\vec{0}\}$ .

**Solution:** Since  $A$  is diagonalizable, we know that  $E_0^1(A) = E_0^2(A)$ ; i.e. this chain stabilizes at order either 0 or 1 (depending on whether or not 0 is an eigenvalue for  $A$ ). Therefore, this follows from work done above.

To reiterate the argument here in a simpler case: Suppose that  $\vec{v} \in \text{Nul } A \cap \text{Col } A$ , then  $A\vec{v} = \vec{0}$  and there is some  $\vec{u} \in \mathbb{C}^n$  with  $A\vec{u} = \vec{v}$ . Thus,  $A^2\vec{u} = A\vec{v} = \vec{0}$ , so  $\vec{u} \in E_0^2(A) = E_0^1(A)$ , so  $\vec{v} = A\vec{u} = \vec{0}$ .  $\square$