

This document is from https://mathematicaster.org/teaching/graphs2022/notes/extra_04-07.pdf

Theorem 1. *If G is an n -vertex graph, then $\chi(G) \cdot \chi(\overline{G}) \geq n$.*

There are many proofs of this fact; here are two:

Proof. We know that $\omega(\overline{G}) = \alpha(G)$, so by applying our two lower bounds on the chromatic number, we have

$$\chi(G) \cdot \chi(\overline{G}) \geq \frac{n}{\alpha(G)} \cdot \omega(\overline{G}) = n. \quad \square$$

Proof. Let $f_1: V \rightarrow [\chi(G)]$ be a proper coloring of G and let $f_2: V \rightarrow [\chi(\overline{G})]$ be a proper coloring of \overline{G} .

We then define $f: V \rightarrow [\chi(G)] \times [\chi(\overline{G})]$ by

$$f(x) = (f_1(x), f_2(x)).$$

Observe that f is a $\chi(G) \cdot \chi(\overline{G})$ -coloring. We claim that $f(x) \neq f(y)$ for all $x \neq y \in V$, which will imply that $\chi(G) \cdot \chi(\overline{G}) \geq n$.

Indeed, fix any $x \neq y \in V$.

Case 1: $xy \in E(G)$. Since f_1 is a proper coloring of G , we then have $f_1(x) \neq f_1(y)$ and so $f(x) \neq f(y)$.

Case 2: $xy \notin E(G)$. Then $xy \in E(\overline{G})$ since $x \neq y$. Since f_2 is a proper coloring of \overline{G} , we then have $f_2(x) \neq f_2(y)$ and so $f(x) \neq f(y)$. □

While the second proof probably seems more complicated, the idea held therein is much more versatile (wink wink nudge nudge).